

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,492	10/11/2001	Eugene Wolbers	10906-007	9686
75	90 01/14/2003			
Steven L. Oberholtzer			EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610			WILLIAMS, ERIC M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Assissation No.	A service and a				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/975,492	WOLBERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this annual colors	Eric M Williams	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 L	<u> December 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-13, 19-38 and 45-50</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,14-18 and 39-44</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified of the company of the prior application for a list of the certified of the prior application for a list of the certified of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the prior application for a list of the prior application for a list of the prior application from the the</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/975,492

Art Unit: 3681

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the papers filed 12-23-2002 for serial number 09/975.492.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 2-13, 19-38 and 45-50 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the papers filed 12-23-2002.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lonne ('371).

Lonne discloses a clutch release bearing (Fig. 2) with a bearing carrier Fig. 1 (1), a bearing assembly (2), a stationary race (5), a rotatable race (3), and a plurality of antifriction elements (4), with an aligning ring (14), and the front face of the aligning ring defining a plane normal to the axis of the bearing carrier, and the rotatable race has a

spherical face and the aligning ring has a spherical face engaging the spherical face of the rotatable race (Fig. 2).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lonne ('371) in view of Allen et al. ('341).

Lonne discloses all the limitations of claims 15-17 but lacks any specific disclosure of an anti-friction element being an o-ring in a groove. Allen discloses an anti-friction element being an o-ring in a groove adapted to maintain a fluid tight seal between two members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lonne such that it has an o-ring seal in a groove, in view of Allen, to maintain a fluid tight seal for lubricant.

7. Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lonne ('371) in view of Ernst et al. ('215).

Lonne discloses a clutch release bearing (Fig. 2) with a bearing carrier Fig. 1 (1), a bearing assembly (2), a stationary race (5), a rotatable race (3), and a plurality of anti friction elements (4), with an aligning ring (14), and the front face of the aligning ring defining a plane normal to the axis of the bearing carrier, and the rotatable race has a

Application/Control Number: 09/975,492

Art Unit: 3681

spherical face and the aligning ring has a spherical face engaging the spherical face of the rotatable race (Fig. 2), but lacks any specific disclosure of a clearance fit between the bearing assembly and the bearing carrier. Ernst (Fig. 1) discloses a clearance fit between the bearing assembly and the bearing carrier (s) to allow for limited radial movement of the bearing assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lonne such that there is a clearance fit between the bearing assembly and the bearing carrier, in view of Ernst, to allow for limited radial movement of the bearing assembly.

8. Claims 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lonne in view of Ernst as applied to claims 39 and 40 above, and further in view of Allen ('341).

Lonne in view of Ernst discloses all the limitations of claims 39 and 40, but lacks any specific teaching of an anti-friction element being an o-ring in a groove. Allen discloses an o-ring groove adapted to maintain a fluid tight seal between two members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify Lonne, in view of Ernst, such that it has an o-ring seal in a groove, in view of Allen, to maintain a fluid tight seal for lubricant.

9. Claims 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lonne in view of Ernst in further view of Allen as applied to claims 39-40 above, and further in view of Lassiaz ('049).

Ernst in view of Lonne and Allen discloses all the limitations of claims 43 and 44 including a sleeve (column 2, lines 32-35), but lacks any specific teaching of snap rings

Art Unit: 3681

or spring washers to hold the components in axial position. Lassiaz discloses a snap

Page 5

ring, spring washer (46) used to hold axial positioning. It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify Lonne, in view of

Ernst in further view of Allen, such that a snap ring, spring washer is employed on a first

end and a second end to hold the support sleeve and bearing assembly in axial

position.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Miyahara ('272), Mori et al. ('492), Ladin ('440), Brandenstein ('361), and

Chapatis ('664) all disclose clutch release bearing assemblies with spherical members.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eric M Williams whose telephone number is 703-305-

0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. from 7:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles A Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

**EMW** 

January 9, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER

AUB681 1-13-03